

FUSION PROCEDURE FOR THE BRAUER ALGEBRA

A. P. ISAEV AND A. I. MOLEV

ABSTRACT. We show that all primitive idempotents for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ can be found by evaluating a rational function in several variables which has the form of a product of R -matrix type factors. This provides an analogue of the fusion procedure for $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that all primitive idempotents of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ can be obtained by taking certain limit values of the rational function

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u_i - u_j}\right),$$

where $s_{ij} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the transposition of i and j , $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are complex variables and the product is calculated in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ in the lexicographical order on the pairs (i, j) . This construction, which is commonly referred to as the *fusion procedure*, goes back to Jucys [8] and Cherednik [5]. Detailed proofs were given by Nazarov [15]. A simple version of the fusion procedure was found in [12]; see also [13, Ch. 6] for applications to the Yangian representation theory and more references. In more detail, let T be a standard tableau associated with a partition λ of n and let $c_k = j - i$, if the element k occupies the cell of the tableau in row i and column j . Then the consecutive evaluations

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \cdots \Big|_{u_n=c_n}$$

are well-defined and this value yields the corresponding primitive idempotent E_T^λ multiplied by the product of the hooks of the diagram of λ .

In this paper we give a similar fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$. This algebra was introduced by Brauer in [4] and its structure and representation theory was studied by many authors; see, for instance, Wenzl [19], Nazarov [16], Leduc and Ram [10] and Rui [18]. We refer the reader to the review paper by Barcelo and Ram [1] for the discussion of the Brauer algebra in the context of combinatorial representation theory and more references. The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ are indexed by all partitions of the nonnegative integers $n, n-2, n-4, \dots$. If λ is a such partition, then the *updown λ -tableaux* T parameterize basis vectors of the corresponding representation; see Sec. 2.

Consider the rational function

$$(1.3) \quad \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{e_{ij}}{u_i + u_j}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u_i - u_j}\right)$$

with the ordered products as in (1.1); the elements $e_{ij}, s_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ are defined in Sec. 2 below. This function was first introduced by Nazarov [17, (3.14)] in the context of representations of the classical Lie algebras and twisted Yangians.

Our main result is the following analogue of the fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra: given an updown λ -tableau T , the consecutive evaluations

$$(1.4) \quad (u_1 - c_1)^{p_1} \dots (u_n - c_n)^{p_n} \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \dots \Big|_{u_n=c_n}$$

are well-defined and this value yields the corresponding primitive idempotent E_T^λ multiplied by a nonzero constant $f(T)$ which is calculated in an explicit form. Here $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are certain integers depending on T which we call the *exponents* of T and the c_i are the *contents* of T ; see Sec. 2 for precise definitions.

In the particular case where λ is a partition of n , we thus reproduce some closely related results of Nazarov [17]; see, in particular, Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and formulas (3.20)–(3.23) there. In fact, he works with wider classes of representations of the orthogonal and symplectic groups G_N parameterized by certain skew Young diagrams with n boxes. The natural action of G_N in the tensor power $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the action of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ for a suitably specialized value of ω . Nazarov's formulas for the idempotents provide remarkable analogues of the Young symmetrizers in an explicit form. Their images in $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ yield realizations of the representations of G_N associated with the skew Young diagrams. Note that the corresponding images of the factors in (1.3) are the values of the Yang R -matrix and its transpose; cf. Remark 3.8 below.

If λ is a partition of n , then all exponents p_i are equal to zero, while the constant $f(T)$ takes the same value as for (1.2), thus making this case quite similar to that of the symmetric group. The existence of a special monomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ [2] can be regarded as an ‘explanation’ of this analogy. If λ is a partition of $n - 2f$ for some $f \geq 1$, then the function (1.3) can have zeros or poles of certain multiplicities at $u_i = c_i$ so that in place of (1.2) we need to take ‘regularized evaluations’ as in (1.4).

The proof of our main theorem (Theorem 3.4) follows the approach of [12] and it is based on the construction of the primitive idempotents E_T^λ in terms of the Jucys–Murphy elements for the Brauer algebra. These elements were introduced independently by Nazarov [16] and Leduc and Ram [10], where analogues of Young's seminormal representations for the Brauer algebra were given. In a more general context of cellular algebras equipped with a family of Jucys–Murphy elements the construction of the primitive idempotents and seminormal forms was given by Mathas [11].

We expect a result similar to Theorem 3.4 to hold for the Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebras which will be considered in our publication elsewhere; cf. [6, 7].

2. THE BRAUER ALGEBRA AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

Let n be a positive integer and ω an indeterminate. An n -diagram d is a collection of $2n$ dots arranged into two rows with n dots in each row connected by n edges such that any dot belongs to only one edge. The product of two diagrams d_1 and d_2 is determined by placing d_1 above d_2 and identifying the vertices of the bottom row of d_1 with the corresponding vertices in the top row of d_2 . Let s be the number of closed loops obtained in this placement. The product $d_1 d_2$ is given by ω^s times the resulting diagram without loops. The *Brauer algebra* $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ is defined as the $\mathbb{C}(\omega)$ -linear span of the n -diagrams with the multiplication defined above. The dimension of the algebra is $1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)$. The following presentation of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ is well-known; see, e.g., [3].

Proposition 2.1. *The Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ is isomorphic to the algebra with $2n - 2$ generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ and the defining relations*

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1, & e_i^2 &= \omega e_i, & s_i e_i &= e_i s_i = e_i, & i &= 1, \dots, n-1, \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i, & e_i e_j &= e_j e_i, & s_i e_j &= e_j s_i, & |i-j| > 1, \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, & e_i e_{i+1} e_i &= e_i, & e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} &= e_{i+1}, \\ s_i e_{i+1} e_i &= s_{i+1} e_i, & e_{i+1} e_i s_{i+1} &= e_{i+1} s_i, & i &= 1, \dots, n-2. \end{aligned}$$

The generators s_i and e_i correspond to the following diagrams respectively:



The subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ so that s_i can be identified with the transposition $(i, i+1)$. Then for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the transposition $s_{ij} = (i, j)$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$. Moreover, e_{ij} will denote the element of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ represented by the diagram in which the i -th and j -th dots in the top row, as well as the i -th and j -th dots in the bottom row are connected by an edge, while the remaining edges connect the k -th dot in the top row with the k -th dot in the bottom row for each $k \neq i, j$. Equivalently, in terms of the presentation of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ provided by Proposition 2.1,

$$s_{ij} = s_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_{j-2} s_{j-1} s_{j-2} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i \quad \text{and} \quad e_{ij} = s_{i,j-1} e_{j-1} s_{i,j-1}.$$

The Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\omega)$ can be regarded as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ spanned by all diagrams in which the n -th dots in the top and bottom rows are connected by an edge.

The *Jucys–Murphy elements* $x_1, \dots, x_n$ for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ were introduced independently in [10] and [16]; they are given by the formulas

$$x_r = \frac{\omega - 1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (s_{kr} - e_{kr}), \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

The element x_n commutes with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\omega)$. This implies that the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ pairwise commute. They can be used to construct a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for the Brauer algebra following the approach of Jucys [9] and Murphy [14]; see also [11] for its generalization to a wider class of cellular algebras. Namely, let λ be a partition of $n - 2f$ for some $f \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$. We will identify partitions with their diagrams so that if the parts of λ are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ then the corresponding diagram is a left-justified array of rows of unit boxes containing λ_1 boxes in the top row, λ_2 boxes in the second row, etc. The box in row i and column j of a diagram will be denoted as the pair (i, j) . An *updown λ -tableau* is a sequence $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ of diagrams such that for each $r = 1, \dots, n$ the diagram Λ_r is obtained from Λ_{r-1} by adding or removing one box, where $\Lambda_0 = \emptyset$ is the empty diagram and $\Lambda_n = \lambda$. To each updown tableau T we attach the corresponding sequence of *contents* $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$, $c_r = c_r(T)$, where

$$c_r = \frac{\omega - 1}{2} + j - i \quad \text{or} \quad c_r = -\left(\frac{\omega - 1}{2} + j - i\right),$$

if Λ_r is obtained by adding the box (i, j) to Λ_{r-1} or by removing this box from Λ_{r-1} , respectively. The primitive idempotents $E_T = E_T^\lambda$ can now be defined by the following recurrence formula (we omit the superscripts indicating the diagrams since they are determined by the updown tableaux). Set $\mu = \Lambda_{n-1}$ and consider the updown μ -tableau $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$. Let α be the box which is added to or removed from μ to get λ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad E_T = E_U \frac{(x_n - a_1) \dots (x_n - a_k)}{(c_n - a_1) \dots (c_n - a_k)},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_k$ are the contents of all boxes excluding α , which can be removed from or added to μ to get a diagram. When λ runs over all partitions of $n, n - 2, \dots$ and T runs over all updown λ -tableaux, the elements $\{E_T\}$ yield a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$. They have the properties

$$(2.2) \quad x_r E_T = E_T x_r = c_r(T) E_T, \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, given an updown tableau $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$, we have the relation

$$(2.3) \quad E_U = \sum_T E_T,$$

summed over all updown tableaux of the form $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1}, \Lambda_n)$; we refer the reader to [10], [11] and [16] for more details. The relation (2.1) admits the following

equivalent form

$$(2.4) \quad E_T = E_U \frac{u - c_n}{u - x_n} \Big|_{u=c_n},$$

where u is a complex variable. This relation is derived from (2.2) and (2.3) exactly as in the case of the symmetric group; see [12].

3. THE FUSION PROCEDURE

Some combinatorial data extracted from the updown tableaux will be convenient for the formulations below. Given an updown μ -tableau $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$ we define two infinite matrices $m(U)$ and $m'(U)$ whose rows and columns are labelled by positive integers and only a finite number of entries in each of the matrices is nonzero. The entry m_{ij} of the matrix $m(U)$ (resp., the entry m'_{ij} of the matrix $m'(U)$) equals the number of times the box (i, j) was added (resp., removed) in the sequence of diagrams $(\emptyset = \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$. So, the difference $m(U) - m'(U)$ is the matrix whose all entries are zero except for the ij -th matrix elements equal to 1 for which the corresponding boxes (i, j) are contained in the diagram μ .

Example 3.1. For the updown tableau

$$U = \left(\square, \quad \square\square, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \square, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

the matrices are

$$m(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad m'(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the common zeros in both matrices have been omitted. $\square$

Furthermore, for each integer k we define the nonnegative integers $d_k = d_k(U)$ and $d'_k = d'_k(U)$ as the respective sums of the entries of the matrices $m(U)$ and $m'(U)$ on the k -th diagonal:

$$d_k = \sum_{j-i=k} m_{ij}, \quad d'_k = \sum_{j-i=k} m'_{ij}.$$

So, in Example 3.1 we have $d_{-1} = d_0 = d_1 = 2$, while $d'_{-1} = d'_0 = d'_1 = 1$ and the remaining values d_k and d'_k are zero.

Finally, for each integer k introduce the parameters $g_k = g_k(U)$ and $g'_k = g'_k(U)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad g_k = \delta_{k0} + d_{k-1} + d_{k+1} - 2d_k, \quad g'_k = d'_{k-1} + d'_{k+1} - 2d'_k.$$

Now the *exponents* $p_1, \dots, p_n$ of an updown λ -tableau $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ are defined inductively, so that p_r depends only on the first r diagrams $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r)$ of T . Hence, it is sufficient to define p_n . Taking $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$ we set

$$(3.2) \quad p_n = 1 - g_{k_n}(U) \quad \text{or} \quad p_n = 1 - g'_{k_n}(U),$$

respectively, if Λ_n is obtained from Λ_{n-1} by adding a box on the diagonal k_n or by removing a box on the diagonal k_n .

Example 3.2. The exponents for the updown tableau

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \square \\ \square \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \end{array} \right)$$

are $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 0$, $p_4 = p_5 = 1$, $p_6 = 2$. $\square$

The constants $f(T)$ which we mentioned in the Introduction are defined inductively by the formula

$$(3.3) \quad f(T) = f(U) \varphi(U, T),$$

where $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$ and $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$. Here

$$\varphi(U, T) = \prod_{k \neq k_n} (k_n - k)^{g_k} \prod_k (k_n + k + \omega - 1)^{g'_k}$$

or

$$\varphi(U, T) = \prod_{k \neq k_n} (-k_n + k)^{g'_k} \prod_k (-k_n - k - \omega + 1)^{g_k},$$

if Λ_n is obtained from Λ_{n-1} by adding or removing a box on the diagonal k_n , respectively, where the products are taken over all integers k , while $g_k = g_k(U)$ and $g'_k = g'_k(U)$. Note that only a finite number of the parameters g_k and g'_k are nonzero so that each product in the above formulas contains only a finite number of factors not equal to 1.

Proposition 3.3. *If $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ is an updown λ -tableau and λ is a partition of n , then all exponents $p_1, \dots, p_n$ of T are equal to zero, while $f(T)$ equals the product of the hooks of λ .*

Proof. Set $U = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$ and $\mu = \Lambda_{n-1}$. The nonzero entries of the matrix $m(U)$ are equal to 1; these are the ij -th matrix elements such that the corresponding boxes (i, j) are contained in the diagram μ . Furthermore, all entries of the matrix $m'(U)$ are zero. Hence, the parameters $g'_k(U)$ are all zero, while the nonzero values of $g_k(U)$ are equal to ± 1 . The value 1 (resp., -1) corresponds to those diagonals k where a box can be added to (resp., removed from) the diagram μ . This proves that $p_r = 0$ for all r and the claim about $f(T)$ is also easily verified. $\square$

Consider now the rational function $\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ with values in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ defined by (1.3). We can now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *For any updown tableau $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ the consecutive evaluations*

$$(u_1 - c_1)^{p_1} \dots (u_n - c_n)^{p_n} \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \dots \Big|_{u_n=c_n}$$

are well-defined. The corresponding value coincides with $f(T)E_T$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem will follow from a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *The function $\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ can be written in the equivalent form*

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{r=2, \dots, n}^{\rightarrow} \left(1 - \frac{e_{r-1,r}}{u_{r-1} + u_r}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,r}}{u_1 + u_r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s_{1,r}}{u_1 - u_r}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{s_{r-1,r}}{u_{r-1} - u_r}\right),$$

where the factors are ordered in accordance with the increasing values of r .

Proof. This follows by using the easily verified identities for the rational functions in u and v with values in $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$: if $i < j < r$ then

$$(3.5) \quad \left(1 - \frac{e_{ir}}{u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{e_{jr}}{v}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u-v}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u-v}\right) \left(1 - \frac{e_{jr}}{v}\right) \left(1 - \frac{e_{ir}}{u}\right).$$

If the indices i, j, k, l are distinct, then the elements e_{ij} and e_{kl} of $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ commute. Therefore, we can represent the first product occurring in (1.3) as

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{e_{ij}}{u_i + u_j}\right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left(1 - \frac{e_{ij}}{u_i + u_j}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{u_1 + u_n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{u_{n-1} + u_n}\right).$$

Now, using the identities (3.5) repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{u_1 + u_n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{u_{n-1} + u_n}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u_i - u_j}\right) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u_i - u_j}\right) \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{u_{n-1} + u_n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{u_1 + u_n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function (1.3) can be written as

$$(3.6) \quad \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \times \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{u_{n-1} + u_n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{u_1 + u_n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s_{1,n}}{u_1 - u_n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{s_{n-1,n}}{u_{n-1} - u_n}\right),$$

and the decomposition (3.4) follows by the induction on n . $\square$

Lemma 3.5 allows us to use the induction on n to prove the theorem. By the induction hypothesis, setting $u = u_n$ we get

$$(3.7) \quad (u_1 - c_1)^{p_1} \dots (u_n - c_n)^{p_n} \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \dots \Big|_{u_{n-1}=c_{n-1}} \\ = f(U) E_U (u - c_n)^{p_n} \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} + u}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{c_1 + u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s_{1,n}}{c_1 - u}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{s_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} - u}\right),$$

where U is the updown tableau $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-1})$. The next lemma will allow us to simplify this expression.

Lemma 3.6. *We have the identity*

$$(3.8) \quad E_U \left(1 - \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} + u}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{e_{1,n}}{c_1 + u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s_{1,n}}{c_1 - u}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{s_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} - u}\right) \\ = \frac{u - c_1}{u - c_n} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(u - c_r)^2}\right) E_U \frac{u - c_n}{u - x_n}.$$

Proof. Note that the Jucys–Murphy element x_n commutes with E_U , and the inverses of the expressions occurring in the product are found by

$$\left(1 - \frac{s_{r,n}}{c_r - u}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(u - c_r)^2}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{s_{r,n}}{c_r - u}\right)$$

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{e_{r,n}}{c_r + u}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 + \frac{e_{r,n}}{c_r + u - \omega}\right),$$

where we have used the relations $s_{r,n}^2 = 1$ and $e_{r,n}^2 = \omega e_{r,n}$. Hence, relation (3.8) is equivalent to

$$(3.9) \quad E_U \left(1 + \frac{s_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} - u}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{s_{1,n}}{c_1 - u}\right) \left(1 + \frac{e_{1,n}}{c_1 + u - \omega}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{e_{n-1,n}}{c_{n-1} + u - \omega}\right) \\ = E_U \frac{u - x_n}{u - c_1}.$$

We embed the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}_n(\omega)$ into $\mathcal{B}_m(\omega)$ for some $m \geq n$ and verify by induction on n a more general identity

$$(3.10) \quad E_U \left(1 + \frac{s_{n-1,m}}{c_{n-1} - u}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{s_{1,m}}{c_1 - u}\right) \left(1 + \frac{e_{1,m}}{c_1 + u - \omega}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{e_{n-1,m}}{c_{n-1} + u - \omega}\right) \\ = E_U \frac{u - x_n^{(m)}}{u - c_1},$$

where

$$x_n^{(m)} = \frac{\omega - 1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (s_{km} - e_{km}).$$

By (2.3) we have $E_U = E_U E_W$, where W is the updown tableau $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{n-2})$. Hence, using the induction hypothesis we can write the left hand side of (3.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} & E_U \left(1 + \frac{s_{n-1,m}}{c_{n-1} - u} \right) E_W \frac{u - x_{n-1}^{(m)}}{u - c_1} \left(1 + \frac{e_{n-1,m}}{c_{n-1} + u - \omega} \right) = \frac{1}{u - c_1} E_U \\ & \times \left(u - x_{n-1}^{(m)} + \frac{s_{n-1,m}(u - x_{n-1}^{(m)})}{c_{n-1} - u} + \frac{(u - x_{n-1}^{(m)})e_{n-1,m}}{c_{n-1} + u - \omega} + \frac{s_{n-1,m}(u - x_{n-1}^{(m)})e_{n-1,m}}{(c_{n-1} - u)(c_{n-1} + u - \omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following relations in $\mathcal{B}_m(\omega)$ which hold for $1 \leq r < n-1$:

$$s_{n-1,m} s_{r,m} = s_{r,n-1} s_{n-1,m}, \quad s_{n-1,m} e_{r,m} = e_{r,n-1} s_{n-1,m}$$

and

$$s_{r,m} e_{n-1,m} = e_{r,n-1} e_{n-1,m}, \quad e_{r,m} e_{n-1,m} = s_{r,n-1} e_{n-1,m}.$$

They imply that

$$s_{n-1,m} x_{n-1}^{(m)} = x_{n-1} s_{n-1,m}$$

and

$$x_{n-1}^{(m)} e_{n-1,m} = (\omega - 1 - x_{n-1}) e_{n-1,m}.$$

Together with the relation $E_U x_{n-1} = c_{n-1} E_U$ implied by (2.2), this allows us to bring the left hand side of (3.10) to the form

$$\frac{1}{u - c_1} E_U \left(u - x_{n-1}^{(m)} - s_{n-1,m} + e_{n-1,m} \right) = E_U \frac{u - x_n^{(m)}}{u - c_1},$$

as required. $\square$

Due to Lemma 3.6, in order to complete the proof of the theorem, we need to show that the rational function

$$f(U)(u - c_1) \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(u - c_r)^2} \right) (u - c_n)^{p_n-1} \cdot E_U \frac{u - c_n}{u - x_n}$$

is regular at $u = c_n$ and its value equals $f(T) E_T$. Using the parameters (3.1), we can write this expression as

$$f(U) \prod_k \left(u - \frac{\omega - 1}{2} - k \right)^{g_k} \prod_k \left(u + \frac{\omega - 1}{2} + k \right)^{g'_k} (u - c_n)^{p_n-1} \cdot E_U \frac{u - c_n}{u - x_n},$$

where k runs over the set of integers. If the diagram Λ_n is obtained from Λ_{n-1} by adding or removing a box on the diagonal k_n , then the value of the content c_n is given by the respective formulas

$$c_n = \frac{\omega - 1}{2} + k_n \quad \text{or} \quad c_n = -\left(\frac{\omega - 1}{2} + k_n \right).$$

The definition of the exponents (3.2), and the constants $f(T)$ in (3.3) together with (2.4) imply the desired statement. $\square$

The following corollary is immediate from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4; cf. [12], [17].

Corollary 3.7. *If $T = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ is an updown λ -tableau and λ is a partition of n , then the consecutive evaluations*

$$\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \cdots \Big|_{u_n=c_n}$$

are well-defined. The corresponding value coincides with $H(\lambda)E_T$, where $H(\lambda)$ is the product of the hooks of λ . $\square$

Remark 3.8. In two particular cases where λ is a row- or column-diagram with n boxes, one can write alternative multiplicative expressions associated with the respective tableaux. Namely, the primitive idempotent corresponding to the only updown (n) -tableau is proportional to

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 + \frac{s_{ij}}{j-i} - \frac{e_{ij}}{j-i+\omega/2-1} \right),$$

while the primitive idempotent corresponding to the updown (1^n) -tableau is proportional to

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{j-i} \right),$$

with both products taken in the lexicographical order on the pairs (i, j) . These formulas are easily verified by using the well-known fact that the rational function

$$R_{ij}(u) = 1 - \frac{s_{ij}}{u} + \frac{e_{ij}}{u - \omega/2 + 1}$$

is a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(u) R_{13}(u+v) R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u+v) R_{12}(u);$$

see [20]. These multiplicative formulas for the idempotents do not seem to have natural analogues for general updown tableaux. Note, however, that the following alternative rational function in the case of $\mathcal{B}_3(\omega)$ can be used instead of $\Psi(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ in the formulation of the fusion procedure:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \left(1 - (u_1 - u_2)s_1 + \frac{u_1 - u_2 - 1}{u_1 + u_2} e_1 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - (u_1 - u_3)s_2 + \frac{u_1 - u_3 - 2}{u_2 + u_3} e_2 \right) \left(1 - (u_1 - u_2)s_1 + \frac{u_1 - u_2 - 1}{u_1 + u_2} e_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Maxim Nazarov and Oleg Ogievetsky for valuable discussions. We acknowledge the support of the Australian Research Council. The work of the first author was supported by the grants RFBR 08-01-00392-a, RFBR-CNRS 07-02-92166-a and RF Grant N.Sh. 195.2008.2. He would like to thank the School of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Sydney for the warm hospitality during his visit.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Barcelo and A. Ram, *Combinatorial representation theory*, in: “New perspectives in algebraic combinatorics” (Berkeley, CA, 1996–97), 23–90, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **38**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] A. Beliakova and C. Blanchet, *Skein construction of idempotents in Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 347–373.
- [3] J. Birman and H. Wenzl, *Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra*, Trans. AMS **313** (1989), 249–273.
- [4] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups*, Ann. Math. **38** (1937), 854–872.
- [5] I. V. Cherednik, *On special bases of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, Funct. Analysis Appl. **20** (1986), 87–89.
- [6] A. P. Isaev, *Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, preprint MPIM (Bonn), MPI 2004-132 (2004), <http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/html/preprints/preprints.html>.
- [7] A. P. Isaev, A. I. Molev and A. F. Os’kin, *On the idempotents of Hecke algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **85** (2008), 79–90.
- [8] A. Jucys, *On the Young operators of the symmetric group*, Lietuvos Fizikos Rinkinys **6** (1966), 163–180.
- [9] A. Jucys, *Factorization of Young projection operators for the symmetric group*, Lietuvos Fizikos Rinkinys **11** (1971) 5–10.
- [10] R. Leduc and A. Ram, *A ribbon Hopf algebra approach to the irreducible representations of centralizer algebras: The Brauer, Birman-Wenzl and type A Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **125** (1997), 1–94.
- [11] A. Mathas, *Seminormal forms and Gram determinants for cellular algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **619** (2008), 141–173.
- [12] A. I. Molev, *On the fusion procedure for the symmetric group*, Reports Math. Phys. **61** (2008), 181–188.
- [13] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 143. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [14] G. E. Murphy, *The idempotents of the symmetric group and Nakayama’s conjecture*, J. Algebra **81** (1983), 258–265.
- [15] M. Nazarov, *Yangians and Capelli identities*, in: “Kirillov’s Seminar on Representation Theory” (G. I. Olshanski, Ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **181**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 139–163 (1998).
- [16] M. Nazarov, *Young’s orthogonal form for Brauer’s centralizer algebra*, J. Algebra **182** (1996), 664–693.

- [17] M. Nazarov, *Representations of twisted Yangians associated with skew Young diagrams*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **10** (2004), 71–129.
- [18] H. Rui, *A criterion on the semisimple Brauer algebras*, J. Comb. Theor., A **111** (2005), 78–88.
- [19] H. Wenzl, *On the structure of Brauers centralizer algebras*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 173–193.
- [20] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models*, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979), 253–291.

BOGOLIUBOV LABORATORY OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH, DUBNA, MOSCOW REGION 141980, RUSSIA

E-mail address: isaevap@theor.jinr.ru

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: alexm@maths.usyd.edu.au